



STATIC CONTROL COMPONENTS, INC.



March 10, 2005

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Re: Part B – Fee(s) Transmittal & Recordation Form Cover Sheet
Application No – 09/720,932
Inventor – Brent Beamer
Filing Date – January 2, 2001
Title – Electrostatic Shielding, Low Charging-Retaining Moisture Barrier Film
Our Docket No – ESD.001

Recordation Form Cover Sheet
Application No – 10/751,753
Inventor – Brent Beamer
Filing Date – January 5, 2004
Title – Methods and Apparatus for a Disposable Grounding Device
Our Docket No – ESD.002

Gentlemen / Ladies:

I am enclosing an original and one copy of the completed Part B – Fee(s) Transmittal along with our check number 266262 payable to Director of the US Patent & Trademark Office in amount of \$1,400.00 for the cost of same.

Also enclosed you will find an original and one copy of the completed Recordation Form Cover Sheet and associated Assignment for recording.

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,


Steven R. Quinley,
Patent Attorney/Associate Counsel

SRQ/vjh

Enclosures





ESD.001

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Brent Beamer

Serial No.: 09/720,932

Filed: January 2, 2001

For: Electrostatic Shielding, Low Charging-Retaining Moisture Barrier Film

Group: 1773

Examiner: Kruer, Kevin R.

Sanford, North Carolina
March 10, 2005

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450


Comments on Statement for Reasons for Allowance

Sir:

The following comments are made with respect to the reasons for allowance indicated by the Examiner in the above case. As stated by the MPEP in Section 1302.14, “[w]here specific reasons are recorded by the examiner, care must be taken to ensure that statements of reason for allowance...do not place unwarranted interpretations, whether broad or narrow, upon the claims.” Further, the “statement is not intended to necessarily state all the reasons for allowance or all the details why claims are allowed and should not be written to specifically or implicitly state that all the reasons for allowance are set forth.”

Under 35 U.S.C. §103, it is mandated that claims be considered as a whole. When considered as a whole, it will be seen that the Examiner has appropriately focused upon particular reasons for allowance and not all the reasons for allowance. While in light of Section 1302.14, Applicant does not believe that the Examiner's statement can or should be misconstrued as being intended to identify the sole reasons for allowance, Applicant does not acquiesce in such a conclusion as there are multiple reasons for allowance of all of the claims. The reasons addressed are clearly exemplary and not exhaustive.

Respectfully submitted,



Steven R. Quinley
Reg. No. 47,012
Static Control Components, Inc.
3010 Lee Avenue
Sanford, NC 27331




EXHIBIT A

Assets

ALL patents, copyrights, trademarks and other indistinguishable assets associated with
Static Control Components, Inc. ESD Division and those tangible assets listed on the attached
pages.